

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/549,396	04/14/2000	Pankai Rohatgi	YOR9-1999-0229-US2	8212
7:	590 11/05/2003		EXAM	INER
Louis P Herzberg			ARANI, TAGHI T	
Intellectual Property Law Dept IBM Corporation P O Box 218 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598		~	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	2 –
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/549,396	ROHATGI, PANKAI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Taghi T. Arani	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	A <i>pril 2000</i> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	•	,	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-10,15,17,18, 20,21,23-29 and 31-44	<u>4</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>11-14,16,19,22 and 30</u> is/are objected	d to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.1.1.	arniner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440() ()) (0)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		·· ——	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Cl35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title

Claims 23-28, 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because claim 23 recites a method comprising: "generating a TCR commitment opening function for extracting a data string committed to by at least one TCR commitment message, utilizing a corresponding TCR opening string......, and employing a TCR function and a regular commitment scheme used in generating TCR commitment message and used in generating corresponding TCR opening string". Steps of claim 23 is merely a series of function applied on various strings or messages with no concrete and tangible result.

Dependent claims 32-34 are also rejected by virtue of their dependencies.

Apparatus claims 24-25 corresponding to method claims 23 are also rejected for the same reasons stated above.

Dependent claims 26-28, 36-40 are also rejected by virtue of their dependencies.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 26-28, 31 and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 26 recites the limitation "said regular commitment scheme" in lines 20-21. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Dependent claims 27-28 and 39 are rejected by virtue of their dependencies.

Claims 26-28, 37-40 are method claims depending from an apparatus claim 25 (computer program product). Dependent method claim should not be depending from a base method claim.

Claims 31 and 35 recite "any TCR function" and "any regular commitment". The terms "any TCR function" and "any regular commitment" are not determinant and are relative terms which renders the claim indefinite. The specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Dependent claims 41-43 are rejected by virtue of their dependencies.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-9, 15, 17-18, 20 and 21 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 6, 12, 13, 14, 18, 46 and 60 of copending Application No. 09/307,493. Although the conflicting claims are not

Art Unit: 2131

identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of the copending application '493 is directed to a method of generating a signature for a message employing a public/private key pair in which the private key includes at least one enhancing key; and a public key which includes a TCR commitment (or a regular commitment recited in claim 12 of the Application '493) to at least one enhancing key recited in **claims 1 and 3** of the pending application, see claim 1, lines 4-6.

Claim 1 of the copending application '493 recites a public key which includes a TCR commitment to at least one enhancing key. That is, a public key comprises "a commitment to an enhancing key" recited in **claim 2** of the pending application.

Clam 6 of the copending application '493 recites inclusion of certificate in the signed message. That is, a certificate for the public key recited in **claim 6** of the pending application.

Claim 1 of the copending application discloses that the commitment is a TCR commitment recited **claim 7** of the pending application.

Claim 1 of the copending application '493 is directed to a signature for a message employing a key pair in a commitment (i.e. TCR commitment scheme) base signature recited in **claim 20** of the pending application.

Claims 46 and 60 of copending application '493 discloses computer program code and apparatus corresponding to **claims 9 and 15** of the pending application.

Claim 14 of the copending application '493 discloses a bound (i.e. 36-time key pair) number of times recited in **claims 17 and 18**.

Art Unit: 2131

Claims 12-14 of the application '493 discloses a commitment based signature employing TCR-commitment to an enhancing key implemented (i.e. in a process) for a 36-time key pair (i.e. performing hash calculation) corresponding to recited limitations of **claims 4**, 5 and 8.

Claim 14 of the copending application '493 discloses employing commitment based signature scheme implementing for a 36-time key pair. That is, a 36-time signature scheme recited in **claim 21**.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 10, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by M. Belare, P. Rogaway, Collision-Resistant Hashing: Towards making UOWHFs Practical, Department of Computer Science & Engineering, University of California at San Diego, July 1997.

Belare teaches a signing with an TCR Hash family where the signing algorithm chooses K (i.e. a commitment) anew for each message (i.e. a first string). Belare further teaches that the key K is included with the signature. That is a second TCR function is applied to a second string that includes the commitment (i.e. key K), see pages 26-27, see also page 28. Belare discloses

Art Unit: 2131

the steps of verifying a message using TCR function (i.e. a TCR de-commitment function) for

verifying the TCR commitment message generated, see page 26.

Claim 44 is an apparatus corresponding to method claim 29. It is rejected for the same

reasons stated in the statement of rejection of claim 29 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-14, 16,19, 22 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner

should be directed to Taghi Arani, whose telephone number is (703) 305-4274. The examiner

can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh, can be reached at (703) 305-9648. The Fax numbers for the

organization where this application is assigned are:

After-final

(703) 746-7238

Official

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240

Taghi Arani

Patent Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 210